

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 GUATEM 03480 01 OF 02 021917Z
ACTION ARA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 IO-13 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04
H-01 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01
SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 AID-05 OMB-01 TRSE-00 EB-07
/094 W

-----021957Z 041313 /70

R 021744Z JUN 77
FM AMEMBASSY GUATEMALA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6257
INFO AMCONSUL BELIZE
AMEMBASSY LONDON
AMEMBASSY MANAGUA
AMEMBASSY MEXICO
AMEMBASSY PANAMA
AMEMBASSY SAN JOSE
AMEMBASSY SAN SALVADOR
AMEMBASSY TEGUCIGALPA
USMISSION USUN NY
USCINCSOUTH

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 1 OF 2 GUATEMALA 3480

E.O. 11652: GDS
TAGS: PBOR, PFOR, GT, UK, BH
SUBJ: BELIZE DISPUTE

REF: GUATEMALA 3465

SUMMARY. NEXT UK-GUATEMALA-BELIZE NEGOTIATING SESSION WILL
BE JULY 6-7 IN WASHINGTON. GUATEMALAN FONMIN SAYS MEETING
IS CRITICAL AND GUATEMALAN ARMY GROWING RESTLESS. MEXICAN
INTEREST IN WHAT HAPPENS TO BELIZE REMAINS A PROBLEM AND GOG
WILL SEEK TO DISCUSS IT WITH MEXICO SOON. GUATEMALA WILL NOT
RAISE DISPUTE AT OASGA BUT BELIEVES CARIBBEAN COUNTRIES MAY
DO SO. GOG IS WORRIED ABOUT POSSIBLE PANAMA-CUBA COOPERATION
ON BELIZE. END SUMMARY
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 GUATEM 03480 01 OF 02 021917Z

1. AMBASSADOR CALLED ON FOREIGN MINISTER MOLINA JUNE 1
PREPARATORY TO HIS DEPARTURE FOR CONSULTATIONS IN DEPARTMENT,
AND TO INTRODUCE DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY ARRELLANO AND CEN
DIRECTOR WADE MATTHEWS. CONVERSATION DEALT PRIMARILY WITH
BELIZE.

2. MOLINA SAID NEXT FORMAL NEGOTIATING SESSION WITH UK WOULD BE IN WASHINGTON JULY 6 AND 7. GOG AND HMG HAD AGREED MAKE SIMULTANEOUS PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT, BUT WHEN MOLINA BRIEFED PRESIDENT LAUGERUD HE HAD NEGLECTED TELL HIM TIME AND PLACE WERE STILL CONFIDENTIAL. PRESIDENT PROMPTLY ANNOUNCED THE MEETING AT A PRESS CONFERENCE (REFTEL). (BRITISH CONSUL TOLD US LATER MOLINA HAD APOLOGIZED TO HIM AND TAKEN FULL BLAME.)

3. MOLINA SAID WASHINGTON MEETING WOULD BE CRITICAL. GUATEMALAN ARMY FEELS OBLIGED TO DEFEND SOVEREIGNTY AND TERRITORIAL INTEGRITY OF GUATEMALA. IF NEGOTIATORS DON'T RETURN FROM WASHINGTON WITH SOME PROSPECT OF A SOLUTION, ARMY WILL FEEL IT HAS TO FIGHT. THIS IS NOT A LOGICAL POSITION, MOLINA SAID, BECAUSE FIGHTING THE BRITISH WOULD BE SUICIDE. BUT LOGIC DOES NOT GOVERN THIS ISSUE. ASKED IF A SETTLEMENT INVOLVING ONLY PARTIAL TERRITORIAL CESSION WOULD POSE CONSTITUTIONAL PROBLEM FOR GUATEMALA, MOLINA SAID IT WOULD, BUT GOG THINKS IT CAN MANAGE THE PROBLEM, I.E., WIN PUBLIC AND CONGRESSIONAL SUPPORT FOR THE SETTLEMENT, IF THE CESSION IS LARGE ENOUGH. MATTHEWS ASKED IF THERE WERE ANY POSSIBILITY UNDER GUATEMALAN CONSTITUTION OF INCORPORATING BELIZE INTO A FUTURE UNITED PROVINCES OF CENTRAL AMERICA. MOLINA SAID THAT WAS A VERY WELCOME IDEA. BELIZE WOULD CERTAINLY BE WELCOMED IN CENTRAL AMERICAN COMMON MARKET AND ANY FUTURE POLITICAL INTEGRATION OF THE REGION. BELIZE KEEPS TALKING ABOUT ITS LINKS WITH THE CARIBBEAN WHICH IN MOLINA'S VIEW ARE VERY TENUOUS. BELIZE PRODUCES ONLY PRODUCTS WHICH COMPETE WITH CARIBBEAN EXPORTS AND IMPORTS NOTHING FROM CARIBBEAN. THEY DO IMPORT FROM GUATEMALA AND MANY BELIZEANS ARE EMPLOYED IN GUATEMALA. CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 GUATEM 03480 01 OF 02 021917Z

THE DRAFT UK-GUATEMALA TREATY PROVIDES FOR JOINT DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS IN BELIZE AND GOG IS MOST WILLING TO COOPERATE IN SUCH PROJECTS.

4. ARELLANO ASKED WHAT THE MEXICAN REACTION MIGHT BE TO A CESSION OF PART OF SOUTHERN BELIZE TO GUATEMALA. MOLINA SAID MEXICO HAD SAID IT FAVORS SELF-DETERMINATION FOR BELIZE BUT THAT IF STATUS OF BELIZE SHOULD CHANGE MEXICO WOULD FEEL FREE TO REASSERT ITS CLAIM TO NORTHERN BELIZE. MOLINA SUPPOSED THAT MEXICO HAD IN MIND POSSIBILITY OF GUATEMALA'S RECOVERING ALL OF BELIZE INCLUDING THE AREA IN WHICH MEXICO IS INTERESTED, BUT GUATEMALA KNOWS THAT IS NOT PRACTICAL. IN ANY EVENT MEXICO HAS NO GROUNDS FOR CLAIM; THEY SIGNED A TREATY WITH UK IN 19TH CENTURY GIVING UP ALL CLAIM TO NORTHERN BELIZE. THAT'S WHY MEXICANS SAY THEY WILL REASSERT CLAIM ONLY IF STATUS CHANGES, I.E., UK LEAVES THE SCENE. MOLINA SAID GOG HAD NEVER DISCUSSED WITH MEXICO THE POSSIBILITY OF A COMPROMISE SETTLEMENT OF THE GUATEMALAN CLAIM, BUT IT INTENDED TO DO SO IN THE NEAR FUTURE. ARELLANO ASKED

WHAT GOG WOULD DO IF MEXICO INSISTED ON ASSERTING ITS CLAIM TO A SHARE OF BELIZE. MOLINA SAID HE WOULD EXPLAIN THE ESSENTIALITY OF A COMPROMISE FOR THE FUTURE PEACE AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE AREA, AND THE DIFFICULTY GUATEMALA HAD IN ACCEPTING ANYTHING SHORT OF ALL OF BELIZE. THE POSSIBILITY OF GUATEMALA ACCEPTING ONLY A SMALL CESSION HAD NEVER BEEN PRESENTED TO GOM AND MOLINA THOUGHT IT SHOULD BE, SO MEXICO WOULD KNOW GUATEMALAN POSITION HAD CHANGED.

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 GUATEM 03480 02 OF 02 021934Z
ACTION ARA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 IO-13 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04
H-01 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01
SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 AID-05 OMB-01 TRSE-00 EB-07
/094 W
-----021957Z 041593 /70

R 021744Z JUN 77
FM AMEMBASSY GUATEMALA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6258
INFO AMCONSUL BELIZE
AMEMBASSY LONDON
AMEMBSSY MANAGUA
AMEMBASSY MEXICO
AMEMBASSY PANAMA
AMEMBASSY SAN JOSE
AMEMBASSY SAN SALVADOR
AMEMBASSY TEGUCIGALPA
USMISSION USUN NY
USCINCSOUTH

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 2 OF 2 GUATEMALA 3480

5. MATTHEWS ASKED WHETHER BELIZE DISPUTE WOULD COME UP AT OASGA. MOLINA SAID GUATEMALA CERTAINLY WILL NOT RAISE IT, BUT HE WOULDN'T BE SURPRISED IF SOME OF THE CARIBBEAN COUNTRIES DID. IF SO GUATEMALA WOULD HAVE TO DEFEND ITS POSITION. GUATEMALA WOULD ARGUE THAT ITS DISPUTE IS WITH A EUROPEAN COUNTRY NOT A MEMBER OF OAS AND THEREFORE OAS NOT PROPER FORUM FOR DISCUSSION OF PROBLEM. BUT SOMEONE, PERHAPS PANAMA, MAY NEVERTHELESS PUSH FOR A RESOLUTION.

6. ASKED WHETHER GOG SAW ANY ROLE OAS SECRETARY GENERAL ORFILA MIGHT USEFULLY PLAY ON THIS ISSUE, MOLINA SAID YES

OF COURSE. AS WE KNEW (SEE GUATEMALA 1341) ORFILA HAD GONE TO LONDON AND HAD TRIED TO EXPLAIN GUATEMALA'S POSITION, THAT GUATEMALA WAS SERIOUS IN REALLY WANTING A SETTLEMENT, THAT
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 GUATEM 03480 02 OF 02 021934Z

IT WAS NOT INTERESTED IN PERPETUATING THE DISPUTE. BUT WHEN ORFILA CAME BACK FROM LONDON HE WAS NOT VERY OPTIMISTIC. AMBASSADOR SAID THAT HE HAD UNDERSTOOD THAT GOG HAD NO OBJECTION TO ROLE FOR ORFILA IF THERE WERE ANY WAY HE COULD HELP. MONILA SAID THAT'S CORRECT. FURTHERMORE, WHEN HE HAD TALKED WITH SECRETARY VANCE IN MARCH, HE HAD TOLD HIM GOG WOULD WELCOME ANY ASSISTANCE US COULD GIVE. US HAD BEEN VERY HELPFUL ON THIS ISSUE IN THE PAST.

7. MOLINA RIASED PROBLEM OF GUATEMALA'S STRAINED RELATIONS WITH PANAMA. GOG WAS SORRY TO BREAK RELATIONS BUT IT HAD NO CHOICE. GUATEMALA HAS BEEN TRYING SINCE INDEPENDENCE FROM SPAIN TO ATTRACT PANAMA INTO CENTRAL AMERICA. IT HAD ALWAYS SUPPORTED PANAMA ON CANAL ISSUE. SO PANAMA HAD NOTHING TO REPROACH GUATEMALA FOR, BUT SUDDENLY IT ADOPTED POSITION ON BELIZE GUATEMALA CONSIDERS OFFENSIVE. PANAMANIAN MINISTER TALKED THE OTHER DAY ABOUT SENDING TROOPS TO BELIZE, AND THEN QUOTE CHANGED HIS POSITION END QUOTE AND SAID HE HAD MEANT ONLY THAT PANAMA WOULD SEND TROOPS AS PART OF A UN FORCE IF ASKED TO DO SO. GUATEMALA HAS LONG BEEN CONCERNED ABOUT POSSIBILITY OF CASTRO'S USING BELIZE DISPUTE AS PRETEXT FOR INTERVENING IN BELIZE, EVEN OF SENDING CUBAN TROOPS. GOG KNOWS UK WOULD NOT PERMIT THAT AS LONG AS IT IS THERE, BUT UK HAS SAID MANY TIMES THAT AS SOON AS BELIZE IS INDEPENDENT IT WILL WITHDRAW ITS TROOPS. THAT WILL CREATE A VACUUM AND FOR MANY REASONS CUBA WILL WANT TO FILL IT. NOW GOG IS CONCERNED THAT THIS CUBAN INTEREST IS RELATED IN SOME WAY TO PANAMA'S SUDDEN CHANGE IN POSITION AND GOP'S STATEMENTS ABOUT TROOPS.

8. COMMENT: WE BELIEVE MOLINA IS GENUINELY CONCERNED ABOUT GROWING IMPATIENCE AMONG GUATEMALAN MILITARY OVER LACK OF PROGRESS IN BELIZE NEGOTIATIONS AND CONSEQUENCES OF A STALEMATE AT THE JULY 6-7 MEETING. THIS IS FAR FROM SAYING, HOWEVER, THAT BREAKDOWN OF TALKS WOULD LEAD TO IMMEDIATE CONFLICT. ON THE CONTRARY, WE BELIEV FOCUS OF BOTH PARTIES
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 GUATEM 03480 02 OF 02 021934Z

TO THE DISPUTE WOULD THEN SHIFT TO UNGA IN SEPTEMBER, AND GOG'S CONSIDERATION OF NEXT STEPS IN ITS BELIZE POLICY WOULD AWAIT OUTCOME OF UNGA ACTION.

BOSTER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: SOVEREIGNTY, BOUNDARY DETERMINATION, NEGOTIATIONS, TERRITORIAL CLAIMS, DIPLOMATIC DISCUSSIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 02-Jun-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977GUATEM03480
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D770197-0142
Format: TEL
From: GUATEMALA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770699/aaaadinc.tel
Line Count: 225
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 5b14c486-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION ARA
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 5
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 77 GUATEMALA 3465
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 02-Feb-2005 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2308593
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: BELIZE DISPUTE
TAGS: PBOR, PFOR, PDEV, GT, UK, BH, (MOLINA ORANTES, ADOLFO), (BOSTER, DAVIS EUGENE)
To: STATE
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/5b14c486-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009